Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	2 = Med 3 = High				

	Data Quality Action Plan 2009/10							
	Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low 2 = Med 3 = High	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments	Deadline and / or Completed	
Aud	it Commission Recommend	ations follo	owing audit of 200	07/08 data quality				
R1	Ensure new Partnership Toolkit clearly outlines data quality objectives for partnership organisations.	3	Head of Policy, Performance and Partnerships	To ensure that there are clear protocols in place where information is shared with partners.	The Partnership Toolkit is being refreshed in May 2009. The Toolkit will outline the Council's data quality objectives as an example of standards.	The Audit Commission note in the report that the Partnership toolkit will meet this criterion for 2008/09 data year	May 2009	
					A data quality agreement for partners to sign up to will be developed and included in the toolkit. LSP partners will be asked to sign following the annual forum and election of new Board and Executive.		July 2009	
R2	Ensure lead members and officers are fully aware of the need for data quality when sharing information through partners.	2	Head of Policy, Performance and Partnerships	To make clear what we expect from our staff and Members in terms of the standards of data quality, and communicate these expectations.	Roles and responsibilities of lead members have been set out as part of the ongoing development of an LSP Governance Handbook. This will include the data quality and information sharing agreement for partners to sign up to.		July 2009	
				To ensure that there are clear protocols in place where information is shared with partners.				
R3	Develop a comprehensive training session for members to allow learning about the importance of data quality and the arrangements already in place.	2	Corporate Governance Group	To make clear what we expect from our staff and Members in terms of the standard of data quality, and communicate these expectations.	A training session on Corporate Governance is currently being developed that will include learning about the importance of data quality.		August 2009	
R4	Ensure that the Partnership Toolkit incorporates a mechanism	2	Head of Policy, Performance and	To put in place arrangements council- wide to secure the quality	The refreshed Partnership Toolkit will set out how the Council is taking a lead in identifying methods to deal			

	Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low 2 = Med 3 = High	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments	Deadline and / or Completed
	for dealing with any non- compliance with the Council's data quality policy so it can be pursued and rectified.		Partnerships	of data we use to manage our services and demonstrate our performance. To ensure that we have the right controls in place so that we achieve what is expected of us.	with non-compliance. The toolkit will provide guidance on how partnerships can address non- compliance through their performance management process.		May 2009
R5	Establish full co-ordination with partners to ensure systems used to provide data are secure.	2	Head of Policy, Performance and Partnerships	To ensure that there are clear protocols in place where information is shared with partners.	The Partnership Toolkit is being refreshed in May 2009. The Toolkit will outline the Council's data quality objectives as an example of standards. A data quality agreement for partners to sign up to will be developed and included in the toolkit. LSP partners will be asked to sign following the annual forum and election of new Board and Executive.	The Audit Commission note in the report that the Partnership toolkit will meet this criterion for 2008/09 data year	May 2009 July 2009
₹6	Establish links with partners for data quality champions to provide feedback loops to identify potential data quality problems.	3	Head of Policy, Performance and Partnerships	To put in place systems, policies and procedures to ensure the highest possible data quality. To ensure that we have the right controls in place so that we achieve what is expected of us.	A process will be established through the refresh of the Partnership Toolkit. Awareness raising will take place with LSP link officers. The process will be reflected in the Governance Handbook. The process will include link officers providing feedback from LSP theme partnerships to Stevenage Borough Council's So Stevenage Partnership officers and Performance & Improvement Team. Feedback will be shared with data quality champions and actioned as appropriate.		May 2009 June 2009 July 2009

	Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low 2 = Med 3 = High	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
R7	In respect of housing benefit performance indicators, some general recommendations to consider are: - Monitor reports on a regular basis to ensure results are in line with expectations; and - Investigate anomalies to ensure they are correct and not a system error.	2	Head of Revenues	To ensure that we have the right controls in place so that we achieve what is expected of us. To put in place systems, policies and procedures to ensure the highest possible data quality.	The finance performance dashboard allows us to monitor performance on a monthly basis. Combined with daily monitoring of workload through the Anite@Work document management system, this provides an effective 'early warning system' to performance dips. The replacing of Pericles Benefits during 2009/10 will resolve current issues with data quality and remove the need for 100% data cleansing of the performance outcome figures.	
R8	In respect of void re-let times, some general recommendations for collection of data are: - Retain all work orders for major works projects to be used as an audit trail; and - Record all information about major works and other work carried out on the Northgate system.	1	Head of Strategic Housing	To ensure that our data quality arrangements are in line with the Council's policy on records management. To ensure that we have the right controls in place so that we achieve what is expected of us.	 The following actions have been taken to address this recommendation: Clarification of the major works definition to ensure consistency across Stevenage Homes staff - building on the CLG guidance. A template has been devised for recording and verifying the use of the major works code All evidence is now centrally collated for use of the major works code – including all the works orders raised. Stevenage Homes is due to have a further audit on BVPI 212 as agreed by Stevenage Borough Council on 2009/10 data, which should evidence that the record keeping is now robust. 	

Deadline and / or Completed
In place
October 2009
In place
August 2010

Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	2 = Med 3 = High				

Outst	anding actions carried forw	vard follow	ing audit of 2006/	07 data quality		
R9	Retain evidence of verification of SHL data.	2	Head of Strategic Housing	To ensure that there are clear protocols in place where information is shared with partners	Maintain audit trail of quarterly verification checks to validate	Officers are currently in SHL to develop and im quality and verification the audit trail in relation Service PIs that are re Council's Balanced Sc SHL has completed da checklists for the Land that are reported throu Balanced Scorecard. Random data checks of for 2008/09 completed process to be establish
R10	Develop a data quality training programme and roll out training to relevant staff.	2	CPU/HR	To ensure that we put in place the right resources, and in particular have the right people with the right skills, so that we have accurate and timely performance information	Develop Member training	Member training is bein of the planned activity of all elements of Corp
R11	Consider the number and skills of staff in respect of data quality when undertaking workforce planning.	1	Head of Human Resources	To ensure that we put in place the right resources, and in particular have the right people with the right skills, so that we have accurate and timely performance information	The Council's adopted Workforce Development Plan will be reviewed following the revision of the Council's People Strategy. The updated Workforce Development Plan will incorporate generic data quality requirements, based on identified skill levels	The Workforce Develop place until 2010.
			Contracts	To put in place systems,	Set up a formal procedure to ensure	SBC are required to us

	Deadline and / or Completed
in discussion with mplement a new data n process to update on to Landlord eported through the corecard. lata quality assurance dlord Services KPIs ugh the Council's on SHL outturn data d. Ongoing quarterly shed.	June 2009 PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED
eing developed as part / to raise awareness porate Governance.	August 2009
opment Plan is in	December 2009
use County	

	Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low 2 = Med 3 = High	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
R12	BV82a (i) Ensure accurate audit trail provided		Manager	policies and procedures to ensure the highest possible data quality.	that Weighbridge tickets support recycling weekly summary. Managers confirmation of reasonableness of variance between waste collected and waste sent for recycling needs to be noted Information to support adjustment to county figure needs to be available	weighbridge ticket deta Flow. Recycling Officer (RO) figures for collected wa for recycling and speal Manager regarding any ensure that they are va RO has evidence of dis need some further form The process requires a

Reco	ommendations from Stevena	ige Boroug	h Council Interna	Audit of 2007/08 data	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T
R13	It is recommended that completed Data Quality Assurance Checklists should be submitted to the Corporate team by a pre- determined date and non submissions be chased up.	2	Performance & Improvement Manager		Agreed. Data Quality Checklists drafted for all indicators in the Balanced Scorecard. Checklists will be verified accurate by Heads of Service and collected by Performance and Improvement Team following end of data year.	The Council's network of Champions are assistin completion and collection 2008/09 data.
R14	It is recommended that there may be potential to improve performance for National Indicator 192 by applying the Audit Commission definition of excluding fly tipping and investigating the inclusion of recycled tyres, wood & metal.	2	Street Scene and Open Spaces Manager		Agreed.	
R15	It is recommended that for National Indicator 192 spot checks of prime documents are undertaken	2	Street Scene and Open Spaces Manager		Agreed.	

Deadline and / or Completed
Original target March 2008 (new deadline to be advised)

rk of Data Quality sting with the final ection of Checklists for	June 2009
	July 2009
	July 2009

	Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low 2 = Med 3 = High	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	this may help to reduce the risk of errors.					
R16	It is recommended that for National Indicator 192 a second person should check the arithmetic on the recycling spreadsheet, this may help reduce the risk of errors.	2	Street Scene and Open Spaces Manager		Agreed.	
R17	It is recommended that for National Indicator 192, some figures on the recycling spreadsheet are reliant on third party figures (e.g. Herts CC and private recycling companies), there should be a reasonableness check to ensure that these figures are accurate. In particular where the Council are paid for recycling materials.	1	Street Scene and Open Spaces Manager		Agreed.	

Deadline and / or Completed
July 2009
July 2009

Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
	2 = Med				
	3 = High				

Additional Actions identified by Corporate Performance and Improvement Team

	Recommendation	Priority 1 = Low 2 = Med 3 = High	Responsibility	Data Quality Objective	Action	Comments
R1 8	Improve Data Quality Status where there are issues of concern.	2	Heads of Service and Performance and Improvement Team	To put in place systems, policies and procedures to ensure the highest possible data quality.	Work with Heads of Service to increase performance indicators that are not subjective and have a one star status data quality (more than one issue to address) to a two star status (one issue to address)	

Deadline and / or Completed
 March 2010